

The same process has occurred in the UK and the US over the past thirty years, and has accelerated in the last fifteen years. The Anti-Terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act signed into law by President Clinton was part one of the Patriot Act, which was signed into law by President Bush following the attacks of September 11, 2001. These two sets of laws certainly changed the nature of US democracy for the worse.

RJ *Could fear ever prevent or hinder the process of globalization in a state or across a society? Or could fear, on the contrary, serve as a unifying force?*

There are collective fears of course, shared by all of humanity and traceable to our very distant past, but in modern times fear is usually divisive, in part because states justify themselves to their citizens by invoking their zeal to beat off threats to ‘national security.’ In the period roughly from 1945 to 1975, the United Nations was still regarded as the custodian of man’s collective hopes for a better world. These were expressed in the early 1970s in the plans for a New World Economic Order. But the rise of neoliberalism – embodied by Thatcher in the UK, and Jimmy Carter and then Ronald Reagan in the US – saw the beginning of the decline of the UN in public expectation as an agency, often sadly compromised of course, for collective world betterment.

In the United States today, fear easily trumps all constructive engagement and is the weapon of choice in any political debate. Witness the virtual impossibility of concluding even the most modest of treaties on arms control.

RJ *What sort of mass fears are most likely to define the next ten years?*

Fears – often gravely exaggerated – about limited resources in energy, food, and water will continue to flourish. In the West, and particularly in the US, Malthusianism – the fear of over-breeding poor people – has become the *sotto voce* ideology of the rich. **The Left has somehow lost the ability to express persuasively a positive vision for the people.** As a result, this political opportunity is being exploited by the right, albeit in such bizarre mutations as the Tea Party.

If people fear that their rights are being stolen from them and combine politically to resist, then of course such fears could have a positive function. ■

Alexander Cockburn was speaking with Yulia Nesterova

MENTAL VIRUSES IN THE POLITICAL SPHERE



ALEXANDER OSLON is a sociologist. He is the President of the Public Opinion Foundation

Exclusively for RJ

All fears stem from problems, challenges that should be met with a response. **Some social problems are only transient. But others are really terrifying,** and the feelings related to them are called fear. How can we distinguish a problem which scares, tortures and disturbs from one that, on the contrary, forces people to pull together, to make decisions, to do something, or that motivates some sort of activity? The nature of these problems is the same, only the emotions associated with them differ. You know, there may be different reactions to one and the same problem. Some people grow fearful of walking on dark sidewalk while others go buy a gun.

We cannot say that there is someone who stands behind one’s fears. After all, it is a sort of mosaic that tends to be very dynamic. The problem that seemed to be acute one month ago is already forgotten today. However, there is an imperative of like-mindedness in a totalitarian society and this effectively restrains diversity. In societies where there are no brakes for such dynamics, the emergence of a factor that can act across the board is very unlikely. These could only be natural factors or calamities when millions of people have virtually the same thoughts. This kind of situation occurred on September 11, 2001. In one minute, the majority of the world’s population suddenly

became horror-stricken because of what they saw thanks to global TV networks.

In a mosaic-like society, fears start to resemble an epidemic. Viruses appear and spread out, but they should otherwise be suppressed by the immune system. And what is an immune system? Antibodies that eat away at a virus. This period is usually called a disease. And viruses expelled from the body infect other people. You sneeze and someone else gets sick. The same happens to mental viruses, ‘the memes’. **You listen to the news but you do not hear what they are saying: you’ve got ‘bananas in your ears’.** **These are the antibodies that protect you from news** that is of no interest to you. In the social realm, while people do not spread such viruses by coughing, they do so by communicating, recalling all of their cases to each other and telling their fears, etc. And sometimes it thrills us so much that all good thoughts abandon us and we give in to fear. But if you have a good education, you have already been told, for example, that you should not be afraid of the decline of the dollar or the ruble, for instance. Intelligence is just an analogue of the immune system. One needs to understand, and one needs to be able to reason. A wild human being is scared of virtually everything around him. ■